

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,088	08/17/2006	Michael L. Weiner	1008 015 501 0202	9951
37211 7550 BASCH & NICKERSON LLP 1777 PENFEELD ROAD			EXAMINER	
			GETZOW, SCOTT M	
PENFIELD, NY 14526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dneels@bnpatentlaw.com dmasters@bnpatentlaw.com mnickerson@bnpatentlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/598,088	WEINER, MICHAEL L.	WEINER, MICHAEL L.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
/Scott M. Getzow/	3762			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statiotory period with apply and wit expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communicatio. Failure to regly within the set or extended period for reply will by shatted, cause the application to become ARMOONED (35 U.S.C., § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter them adjustments. See 37 CFR 174(b). 	n.
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 32-48 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-48</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/17/06.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Application/Control Number: 10/598,088

Art Unit: 3762

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it must be on a separate sheet. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 32-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 7,020,517. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because at least one claim of the present application is obvious over at least one claim of the '517 patent. The claims of the present application are considered to be an obvious broadening of the claims of the parent patent.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/598,088

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 Claims 32-38,41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al (6.058,328) in view of Collins (5,782,873).

Levine teaches all of the subject matter of the above claims except for the explicit mention of an environment enhancement generator. Collin teaches the use of such a generator. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Collins with the device of Levine since such a combination is a combination of known prior art elements which would yield a predictable result, with no change in their respective functions.

 Claims 39,40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al (6,058,328) in view of Collins (5,782,873) and further in view of Klemic et al (2004/0168912).

To use the high resolution patch sensor of Klemic would have been obvious since such has been shown to be effective in sensing small signals from bio tissue, as taught therein

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Scott M. Getzow/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,088 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG